UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,466	10/29/2001	Jonathan Vaux	16222U-008400US	9289
66945 7590 03/04/2009 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND CREW LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER, 8TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			FELTEN, DANIEL S	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3696	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/020,466	VAUX ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	DANIEL S. FELTEN	3696		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 F</u> 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 12-25 and 39-66 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 12-25 and 39-66 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

1. Receipt of the Request for Continued Examination filed February 06, 2009 is acknowledged. Claims 12-25 and 39-66 remain pending in the application and are presented to

be examined upon their merits.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 2/06/2009 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive. The applicant is respectfully asked to review the rejections below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. The claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 12 discloses a

system which has an *interface* and a processing *module*. These terms within the system claim

are considered as functional descriptive material because they may be interpreted as being

synonymous with merely software or files without the use of hardware to carryout the

functionality. In other words, Such claimed data structures do not define any structural and

functional interrelationships between the data structure and other claimed aspects of the

invention which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized (see MPEP 2106.01).

Art Unit: 3696

- 5. Claim 21, discloses several modules that are considered non-functional descriptive material. A databases per se without the prerequisite structure may also be considered a file or software (see MPEP 2106.01).
- 6. Claim 53 discloses a system claim with an issuer pricing *engine*. This is also considered functionally descriptive material (see MPEP 2106.01)
- 7. Method claims 39-42, 43-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 8. Based on Supreme Court precedent (*Diamond v. Diehr*, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); *Parker v. Flook*, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); *Gottschalk v. Benson*, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); *Cochrane v. Deener*, 94 U.S. 780, 787-88 (1876)) and recent Federal Circuit decisions, §101 process must (1) be tied to another statutory class (such as a particular apparatus) or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing (the Supreme Court recognized that this test is not necessarily fixed or permanent and may evolve with technological advances. *Gottschalk v. Benson*, 409 U.S. 63, 71 (1972)).
- 9. If neither of these requirements is met by the claim(s), the method is not a patent eligible process under 35 U.S.C. §101.
- 10. In this particular case, regarding the first test, in performing the steps of the claimed subject matter, there is no requirement that a machine be used, thus the claims are not considered sufficiently tied to another statutory class. Regarding the second test, since the claimed subject

Application/Control Number: 10/020,466 Page 4

Art Unit: 3696

matter may be performed using only human intelligence, the steps do not sufficiently transform the underlying subject matter to be statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 12-25 and 39-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodgers (WO 2001/018712) in view of Bergato (WO 1999/0055629).
- 13. Rodgers discloses a Web-based purchasing method using a networked computer system (100) to facilitate the purchase of merchandise by a purchaser (130) from a seller (140), including buyer approval (page 8 lines 11-12), transaction accounts (page 7 lines 12-14), terms and conditions (page 6 lines 18-21) and variable pricing (page 12 lines 28-30). The pricing of venues participants, referral services, escrow services would be stored in a database and thus considered inherent to Rodgers.
- 14. Rodgers does not disclose the applicant's database per se.
- 15. Bergato discloses a data processing system (10) and method including a host processor (12) and remote terminals (26), for facilitating transactions in precious stones such as diamonds

are set forth." I consider that BEP, GATO includes buyer approval (page 29 lines 27-29), transaction accounts (page 15 lines 11-14) and variable pricing (page 9 lines 24-27).

Furthermore, terms and conditions are included in such things as buyer approval and the like. As in Rodgers, it is inherent that a database would store such information linking diamond weight to the fee for the clearing house. Thus, the disclosure of the database is a function equivalent to your variable pricing matrix.

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include or substitute the database as taught by Bergato in the system of Rodgers, since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination each element merely would have performed the same function as it did separately, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL S. FELTEN whose telephone number is (571)272-6742. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Dixon can be reached on (571) 272-6803. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,466 Page 6

Art Unit: 3696

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel S Felten Primary Examiner Art Unit 3696

/Daniel S Felten/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3696